

Audit Strategy Memorandum

Derbyshire County Council

Year ending 31 March 2020





CONTENTS

1. Engagement and responsibilities summary
2. Your audit engagement team
3. Audit scope, approach and timeline
4. Significant risks and key judgement areas
5. Value for Money
6. Fees for audit and other services
7. Our commitment to independence
8. Materiality and misstatements

Appendix A – Key communication points

Appendix B - Forthcoming accounting and other issues

This document is to be regarded as confidential to Derbyshire County Council. It has been prepared for the sole use of the Audit Committee as the appropriate sub-committee charged with governance. No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents. Our written consent must first be obtained before this document, or any part of it, is disclosed to a third party.

Audit Committee
Derbyshire County Council
County Hall
Matlock
DE4 3AG

24 March 2020

Dear Sirs / Madams

Audit Strategy Memorandum – Year ending 31 March 2020

We are pleased to present our Audit Strategy Memorandum for Derbyshire County Council for the year ending 31 March 2020.

The purpose of this document is to summarise our audit approach, highlight significant audit risks and areas of key judgements and provide you with the details of our audit team. As it is a fundamental requirement that an auditor is, and is seen to be, independent of its clients, Section 7 of this document also summarises our considerations and conclusions on our independence as auditors.

We consider two-way communication with you to be key to a successful audit and important in:

- reaching a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and the responsibilities of each of us;
- sharing information to assist each of us to fulfil our respective responsibilities;
- providing you with constructive observations arising from the audit process; and
- ensuring that we, as external auditors, gain an understanding of your attitude and views in respect of the internal and external operational, financial, compliance and other risks facing Derbyshire County Council which may affect the audit, including the likelihood of those risks materialising and how they are monitored and managed.

This document, which has been prepared following our initial planning discussions with management, is the basis for discussion of our audit approach, and any questions or input you may have on our approach or role as auditor.

This document also contains specific appendices that outline our key communications with you during the course of the audit, and forthcoming accounting issues and other issues that may be of interest.

Client service is extremely important to us and we strive to continuously provide technical excellence with the highest level of service quality, together with continuous improvement to exceed your expectations so, if you have any concerns or comments about this document or audit approach, please contact me on 07875 974 291.

Yours faithfully

Mark Surridge

Mazars LLP

1. ENGAGEMENT AND RESPONSIBILITIES SUMMARY

Overview of engagement

We are appointed to perform the external audit of Derbyshire County Council (the Council) for the year to 31 March 2020. The scope of our engagement is set out in the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies, issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) available from the PSAA website: <https://www.psaa.co.uk/managing-audit-quality/statement-of-responsibilities-of-auditors-and-audited-bodies/>

Our responsibilities

Our responsibilities are principally derived from the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the 2014 Act) and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO), as outlined below:

Audit opinion

We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements.

Our audit is planned and performed so to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material error and give a true and fair view of the financial performance and position of the Council for the year.

Value for Money

We are required to conclude whether the Council has proper arrangements in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We discuss our approach to Value for Money work further in section 5 of this report.

Reporting to the NAO

We report to the NAO on the consistency of the Council's financial statements with its Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) submission.

Electors' rights

The 2014 Act requires us to give an elector, or any representative of the elector, the opportunity to question us about the accounting records of the Council and consider any objection made to the accounts. We also have a broad range of reporting responsibilities and powers that are unique to the audit of local authorities in the United Kingdom.

Our audit does not relieve management or those charged with governance, of their responsibilities. The responsibility for safeguarding assets and for the prevention and detection of fraud, error and non-compliance with law or regulations rests with both those charged with governance and management. In accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), we plan and perform our audit so as to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. However our audit should not be relied upon to identify all such misstatements.

As part of our audit procedures in relation to fraud we are required to enquire of those charged with governance as to their knowledge of instances of fraud, the risk of fraud and their views on management controls that mitigate the fraud risks.

The Council is required to prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis by the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting. As auditors, we are required to consider the appropriateness of the use of the going concern assumption in the preparation of the financial statements and the adequacy of disclosures made.

For the purpose of our audit, we have identified the Audit Committee as those charged with governance.



2. YOUR AUDIT ENGAGEMENT TEAM



- Mark Surridge, Engagement Lead
- mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk
- 07875 974 291



- John Pressley, Engagement Manager
- john.pressley@mazars.co.uk
- 07909 980 880



- Amber Davidson, In-charge
- amber.davidson@mazars.co.uk
- 0115 964 4744

In accordance with our internal policies for audit quality and risk management, we consider the audit of the Council to be a 'major audit'. As a result, and in line with the requirements of International Standards on Quality Control (ISQC) 1, the firm's Risk Management Partner has appointed an Engagement Quality Control Reviewer (EQCR) to the Council's audit who will bring an additional level of quality control to the engagement team.

3. AUDIT SCOPE, APPROACH AND TIMELINE

Audit scope

Our audit is designed to comply with all professional requirements. Our audit of the financial statements will be conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), relevant ethical and professional standards, our own audit approach and in accordance with the terms of our engagement. Our work is focused on those aspects of your business which we consider to have a higher risk of material misstatement, such as those affected by management judgement and estimation, application of new accounting standards, changes of accounting policy, changes to operations or areas which have been found to contain material errors in the past.


Audit approach

Our audit approach is a risk-based approach primarily driven by the risks we consider to result in a higher risk of material misstatement of the financial statements. Once we have completed our risk assessment, we develop our audit strategy and design audit procedures in response to this assessment.

If we conclude that appropriately-designed controls are in place then we may plan to test and rely upon these controls. If we decide controls are not appropriately designed, or we decide it would be more efficient to do so, we may take a wholly substantive approach to our audit testing. Substantive procedures are audit procedures designed to detect material misstatements at the assertion level and comprise tests of details (of classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures) and substantive analytical procedures. Irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, which take into account our evaluation of the operating effectiveness of controls, we are required to design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure.

Our audit will be planned and performed so as to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement and give a true and fair view. The concept of materiality and how we define a misstatement is explained in more detail in section 8.

The diagram below outlines the procedures we perform at the different stages of the audit. This is subject to change in light of current public health concerns regarding Covid-19.



3. AUDIT SCOPE, APPROACH AND TIMELINE (CONTINUED)

Reliance on internal audit

Where possible we will seek to utilise the work performed by internal audit to modify the nature, extent and timing of our audit procedures. We will liaise with internal audit regarding the progress and findings of their work prior to the commencement of our controls evaluation procedures.

Management's and our experts

Management makes use of experts in specific areas when preparing the Council's financial statements. We also use experts to assist us to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on specific items of account.

Items of account	Management's expert	Our expert
Defined benefit liability	Actuary (Hymans Robertson)	NAO's consulting partner (PwC)
Property, plant and equipment	Internal valuer	None. We expect to use information from third parties to support our challenge of valuation assumptions.
Financial instrument disclosures	Arlingclose Ltd	None. Assurance provided by the NAO.

Service organisations

International Auditing Standards define service organisations as third party organisations that provide services to the Council that are part of its information systems relevant to financial reporting. We are required to obtain an understanding of the services provided by service organisations as well as evaluating the design and implementation of controls over those services. We have not identified any relevant service organisations.

4. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND KEY JUDGEMENT AREAS

Following the risk assessment approach discussed in section 3 of this document, we have identified relevant risks to the audit of financial statements. The risks that we identify are categorised as significant, enhanced or standard, as defined below:


Significant risk A significant risk is an identified and assessed risk of material misstatement that, in the auditor’s judgment, requires special audit consideration. For any significant risk, the auditor shall obtain an understanding of the entity’s controls, including control activities relevant to that risk.

Enhanced risk An enhanced risk is an area of higher assessed risk of material misstatement at audit assertion level other than a significant risk. Enhanced risks incorporate but may not be limited to:

- key areas of management judgement, including accounting estimates which are material but are not considered to give rise to a significant risk of material misstatement; and
- other audit assertion risks arising from significant events or transactions that occurred during the period.

Standard risk This is related to relatively routine, non-complex transactions that tend to be subject to systematic processing and require little management judgement. Although it is considered that there is a risk of material misstatement, there are no elevated or special factors related to the nature, the likely magnitude of the potential misstatements or the likelihood of the risk occurring.

The summary risk assessment, illustrated in the table below, highlights those risks which we deem to be significant. We have summarised our audit response to these risks on the next two pages.




4. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND KEY JUDGEMENT AREAS (CONTINUED)

We provide more detail on the identified risks and our testing approach with respect to significant risks in the table below. An audit is a dynamic process, should we change our view of risk or approach to address the identified risks during the course of our audit, we will report this to the Audit Committee.

Significant risks

	Description of risk	Planned response
1	<p>Management override of controls</p> <p>Management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud on all audits.</p>	<p>We plan to address the management override of controls risk through performing audit work over accounting estimates, journal entries and significant transactions outside the normal course of business or otherwise unusual.</p>
2	<p>Revenue recognition</p> <p>Under ISA 240 there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to improper revenue recognition. Having considered the factors for revenue recognition and the Council's income streams, we believe the risk is relevant to "fees, charges and other service income". We have applied our judgement and believe the risk is not present in income from interest and investments, council tax, business rates and government grants.</p> <p>In our auditor judgement, we believe the risk is focused on the year-end balance sheet and in particular the existence and accuracy of "fees, charges and other service income" receivables.</p>	<p>We will address this risk by performing work in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ensuring the accounting policies in relation to revenue recognition and recognition of accruals are appropriate and consistently applied; testing year end debtors to confirm that they have been correctly valued and are correctly treated as a debtor of the authority; and carrying out cut-off testing to confirm income has been charged to the correct accounting year.
3	<p>Property, plant and equipment valuation</p> <p>The Council's accounts contain material balances and disclosures relating to its holding of property, plant and equipment, investment properties and assets held for sale, with the majority of land and building assets required to be carried at valuation. Due to high degree of estimation uncertainty associated with those held at valuation, we have determined there is a significant risk in this area.</p>	<p>In relation to the valuation of property, plant & equipment, investment properties and assets held for sale we will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Critically assess the Council's valuers scope of work, qualifications, objectivity and independence to carry out the required programme of revaluations; Consider whether the overall revaluation methodology used by the Council's valuer is in line with industry practice, the CIPFA Code of Practice and the Council's accounting policies; Assess whether valuation movements are in line with market expectations by reference to alternative sources of valuation data to provide information on regional valuation trends; Critically assess the treatment of the upward and downward revaluations in the Council's financial statements with regards to the requirements of the CIPFA Code of Practice; and Critically assess the approach that the Council adopts to ensure that assets not subject to revaluation in 2019/20 are materially correct, including considering the robustness of that approach in light of the valuation information reported by the Council's valuer.



4. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND KEY JUDGEMENT AREAS (CONTINUED)

Significant risks (continued)

	Description of risk	Planned response
4	<p>Defined benefit liability valuation</p> <p>The Council's accounts contain material liabilities relating to the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS). The Council uses an actuary to provide an annual valuation of these liabilities in line with the requirements of IAS 19 Employee Benefits. Due to the high degree of estimation uncertainty associated with this valuation, we have determined there is a significant risk in this area.</p>	<p>We will address this risk by performing work in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reviewing the appropriateness of the Pension Asset and Liability valuation methodologies applied by the Pension Fund Actuary, and the key assumptions included within the valuation. This included comparing them to expected ranges, utilising information provided by PWC, the consulting actuary engaged by the National Audit Office; • agreeing the data in the IAS 19 valuation report provided by the Fund Actuary for accounting purposes to the pension accounting entries and disclosures in the Council's financial statements; • critically assessed the competency, objectivity and independence of the Derbyshire Pension Fund's Actuary, Hymans Robertson; • liaising with the auditors of the Pension Fund to gain assurance that the controls in place at the Pension Fund are operating effectively. This includes the processes and controls in place to ensure data provided to the Actuary by the Pension Fund for the purposes of the IAS 19 valuation is complete and accurate; and • performing a walkthrough of payroll transactions at the Council to understand how pension contributions are deducted and paid to the Pension Fund by the Council.

Enhanced risks and key areas of management judgement

Enhanced risks and key areas of management judgement include accounting estimates which are material but are not considered to give rise to a significant risk of material misstatement. These areas of management judgement represent other areas of audit emphasis.

	Area of management judgement	Planned response
1	<p>Minimum revenue provision (MRP)</p> <p>Local authorities are normally required each year to set aside some of their revenues as a provision for debt in respect of capital expenditure financed by borrowing or long term credit arrangements, by reference to the prior year's closing Capital Financing Requirement. The amount to be set aside each year is not prescribed although an overarching principle of prudence is expected to be adopted. This is supported by statutory guidance as to how this could be achieved and the Council is required to have regard to this in setting its MRP policy. Management judgement is therefore exercised in determining the level of its prudent provision.</p>	<p>We plan to address this judgement by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reviewing the Council's MRP policy to ensure that it has been developed with regard to the statutory guidance; • assessing whether the provision has been calculated and recorded in accordance with the Council's policy; • assessing whether the amount provided for the period is appropriate, taking into account the Council's Capital Financing Requirement; and • confirming that any charge has been accounted for in accordance with the Code.
2	<p>SinFin Waste Recycling</p> <p>The long-term waste management contract between Derbyshire County Council, Derby City Council and Resource Recovery Solutions came to an end in 2019. Work is underway to determine the condition and capability of the currently non-operational treatment facility. The Council's management will need to make a judgement on how to account for the impact in 2019/20.</p>	<p>We will evaluate the basis of the accounting judgement and the impact on the financial statements for 2019/20 including the adequacy of disclosures.</p>

5. VALUE FOR MONEY

Our approach to Value for Money


We are required to form a conclusion as to whether the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The NAO issues guidance to auditors that underpins the work we are required to carry out, and sets out the overall criterion and sub-criteria that we are required to consider.

The overall criterion is that, ‘in all significant respects, the Council had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people.’

To assist auditors in reaching a conclusion on this overall criterion, the following sub-criteria are set out by the NAO:

- informed decision making;
- sustainable resource deployment; and
- working with partners and other third parties.

A summary of the work we undertake to reach our conclusion is provided below:



Significant Value for Money risks

The NAO’s guidance requires us to carry out work at the planning stage to identify whether or not a Value for Money (VFM) exists. Risk, in the context of our VFM work, is the risk that we come to an incorrect conclusion rather than the risk of the arrangements in place at the Council being inadequate. As outlined above, we draw on our deep understanding of the Council and its partners, the local and national economy and wider knowledge of the public sector.

We have identified a significant VFM planning risk in relation to the acquisition and operation of the Sinfin waste treatment plant. This project is described on the following page.



5. VALUE FOR MONEY (CONTINUED)

	VFM Risk	Relevant VFM Criteria	Planned response
1	<p>SinFin Waste Recycling</p> <p>The long-term waste management contract between Derbyshire County Council, Derby City Council and Resource Recovery Solutions came to an end in 2019.</p> <p>The facility was due to be operational in 2017, however this did not happen as intended. Following a sustained period of the Councils asking the funding banks to step-in and get the Waste Recycling facility fully operational, the banks issued a legal notice (called a “No Liquid Market” notice) that brought the contract to an end and the councils did not dispute the notice.</p> <p>Work is underway to determine the condition and capability of the currently non-operational treatment facility.</p>	<p>Working with partners and other third parties: Working with third parties effectively to deliver strategic priorities</p> <p>Informed Decision Making: Acting in the public interest, through demonstrating and applying the principles and values of sound governance</p>	<p>We will review the governance and decision making in respect of this project before offering our 2019/20 VFM conclusion. In undertaking this work we will consider the timeline of key decisions made by the Council and any reports issued in support of those decisions.</p>

We will continually assess whether any matters come to our attention through the course of our audit that lead us to conclude that a risk to our VFM conclusion does exist and where any such risk is identified, this is due be reported to the Audit Committee in July 2020 in our Audit Completion Report. Whilst our consideration of the following matters have not identified a significant VFM risk to date, we will specifically consider these items before we issue our conclusion:

- Financial sustainability – The Council continues to face financial pressure in the coming years and the Council keeps its medium term financial plan (MTFP) up to date. We will consider the Council’s outturn financial position on useable reserves and whether this adversely impacts the Council’s financial resilience.
- Work of Inspectors and Regulators such as OFSTED and the CQC.
- The Head of Internal Audit’s overall opinion on the Council’s Control Environment.
- Disclosures and any significant governance matters reported in the Annual Governance Statement.



6. FEES FOR AUDIT AND OTHER SERVICES

Fees for work as the Council's appointed auditor

The table below shows the scale fees set by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) as communicated in our fee letter of 26 April 2019.

Service	2018/19 fee	2019/20 fee (as per fee letter)	2019/20 Actual fee
Code audit work	£100,774 plus VAT*	£96,524 plus VAT	TBC

- For 2018/19 we carried out additional audit work to address the risk of material misstatement on the Council's pension liability arising from the actuarial impact of GMP and McCloud, resulting in an additional fee of £750.
- In addition, we agreed an additional fee of £3,500 for the work undertaken in relation to an objection we received from a local elector.

We continually strive to maintain high standards of audit quality. One mechanism for doing this is to consider the outcome of independent quality reviews, in particular by the Financial Reporting Council, of our audit work and that of other audit suppliers. In particular we are planning increases in the level of work we do on:

- defined benefit pension schemes; and
- valuation of property, plant and equipment


We have discussed the driving factors with Council officers and the audit fee for 2019/20 will be revisited to reflect the increased level of work that was not considered when the scale fee was set. Any agreed additional fee is also subject to detailed scrutiny by the PSAA as part of the approval process.

Other audit and assurance fees

In addition to the fees outlined above in relation to our appointment by PSAA, we have been separately engaged by the Council to carry out additional work as set out in the table below. Before agreeing to undertake any additional work we consider whether there are any actual, potential or perceived threats to our independence. Further information about our responsibilities in relation to independence is provided in section 7.

Service	2018/19 fee	2019/20 fee
Other services: Teachers' Pensions	£3,500 plus VAT	TBC*

* Subject to us being engaged to again carry out this work for 2019/20.



7. OUR COMMITMENT TO INDEPENDENCE

We are committed to independence and are required by the Financial Reporting Council to confirm to you at least annually, in writing, that we comply with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard. In addition, we communicate any matters or relationship which we believe may have a bearing on our independence or the objectivity of the audit team.

Based on the information provided by you and our own internal procedures to safeguard our independence as auditors, we confirm that in our professional judgement there are no relationships between us and any of our related or subsidiary entities, and you and your related entities creating any unacceptable threats to our independence within the regulatory or professional requirements governing us as your auditors.

We have policies and procedures in place which are designed to ensure that we carry out our work with integrity, objectivity and independence. These policies include:

- all partners and staff are required to complete an annual independence declaration;
- all new partners and staff are required to complete an independence confirmation and also complete computer-based ethics training;
- rotation policies covering audit engagement partners and other key members of the audit team;
- use by managers and partners of our client and engagement acceptance system which requires all non-audit services to be approved in advance by the audit engagement partner.

We confirm, as at the date of this document, that the engagement team and others in the firm as appropriate, and Mazars LLP are independent and comply with relevant ethical requirements. However, if at any time you have concerns or questions about our integrity, objectivity or independence please discuss these with Mark Surridge in the first instance.

Prior to the provision of any non-audit services Mark Surridge will undertake appropriate procedures to consider and fully assess the impact that providing the service may have on our auditor independence. Included in this assessment is consideration of Auditor Guidance Note 01 as issued by the NAO, and the PSAA Terms of Appointment.

Principal threats to our independence and identified associated safeguards are set out below. Any emerging independence threats and associated identified safeguards will be communicated in our Audit Completion Report.

Issue	
Teachers' Pension return	<p>We have considered threats and safeguards as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Self Review: The work does not involve the preparation of information that has a material impact upon the financial statements subject to audit by Mazars; • Self Interest: The total fee level is not deemed to be material to the Council or Mazars. The work undertaken is not paid on a contingency basis; • Management: The work does not involve Mazars making any decisions on behalf of management; • Advocacy: The work does not involve Mazars advocating the Council to third parties; • Familiarity: Work is not deemed to give rise to a familiarity threat given this piece of assurance work used to fall under the Audit Commission / PSAA certification regimes and was the responsibility of the Council's appointed auditor; and • Intimidation: The nature of the work does not give rise to any intimidation threat from management to Mazars.



8. MATERIALITY AND MISSTATEMENTS

Summary of initial materiality thresholds

Threshold	Initial threshold (£'000s)
Overall materiality	31,491
Performance materiality	23,618
Trivial threshold for errors to be reported to the Audit Committee	945

Materiality

Materiality is an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of financial statements as a whole. Misstatements in financial statements are considered to be material if they, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Judgements on materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by the size and nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. Judgements about materiality are based on consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group and not on specific individual users.

The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our perception of the financial information needs of the users of the financial statements. In making our assessment we assume that users:

- have a reasonable knowledge of business, economic activities and accounts;
- have a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable diligence;
- understand that financial statements are prepared, presented and audited to levels of materiality;
- recognise the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgement and the consideration of future events; and
- will make reasonable economic decisions on the basis of the information in the financial statements.

We consider materiality whilst planning and performing our audit based on quantitative and qualitative factors.

Whilst planning, we make judgements about the size of misstatements which we consider to be material and which provides a basis for determining the nature, timing and extent of risk assessment procedures, identifying and assessing the risk of material misstatement and determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures.

The materiality determined at the planning stage does not necessarily establish an amount below which uncorrected misstatements, either individually or in aggregate, will be considered as immaterial.

We revise materiality for the financial statements as our audit progresses should we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we been aware of that information at the planning stage.

Our provisional materiality is set based on a benchmark of the 2018/19 total gross expenditure. We have calculated a headline figure for materiality but have also identified separate levels for procedures designed to detect individual errors, and also a level above which all identified errors will be reported to the Audit Committee.

We consider that total gross expenditure at the surplus/deficit on provision of services level remains the key focus of users of the financial statements and, as such, we base our materiality levels around this benchmark.



8. MATERIALITY AND MISSTATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

We have set our materiality threshold at 2% of the benchmark based on the 2018/19 audited financial statements.

Based on the 2018/19 audited financial statements we anticipate the overall materiality for the year ending 31 March 2020 to be £31,491,000.

After setting initial materiality, we continue to monitor materiality throughout the audit to ensure that it is set at an appropriate level.

Performance Materiality

Performance materiality is the amount or amounts set by the auditor at less than materiality for the financial statements as a whole to reduce, to an appropriately low level, the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Our initial assessment of performance materiality is based on relatively low inherent risk, meaning that we have applied 75% of overall materiality as performance materiality. We have therefore set our performance materiality at 75% of our overall materiality being £23,618,000.

As with overall materiality, we will remain aware of the need to change this performance materiality level through the audit to ensure it remains to be set at an appropriate level.

Specific items of lower materiality

We have also calculated materiality for specific classes of transactions, balances or disclosures where we determine that misstatements of a lesser amount than materiality for the financial statements as a whole, could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements. We have set specific materiality for the following item of account:

Item of account	Specific materiality
Officers' remuneration	£5,000 *

* Reflecting movement from one salary band to another

Misstatements

We aggregate misstatements identified during the audit that are other than clearly trivial. We set a level of triviality for individual errors identified (a reporting threshold) for reporting to the Audit Committee that is consistent with the level of triviality that we consider would not need to be accumulated because we expect that the accumulation of such amounts would not have a material effect on the financial statements. Based on our preliminary assessment of overall materiality, our proposed triviality threshold is £945,000 based on 3% of overall materiality. If you have any queries about this please do not hesitate to raise these with Mark Surridge.

Reporting to the Audit Committee

To comply with International Standards on Auditing (UK), the following three types of audit differences will be presented to the Audit Committee:

- summary of adjusted audit differences;
- summary of unadjusted audit differences; and
- summary of disclosure differences (adjusted and unadjusted).

APPENDIX A – KEY COMMUNICATION POINTS

ISA (UK) 260 'Communication with Those Charged with Governance', ISA (UK) 265 'Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management' and other ISAs (UK) specifically require us to communicate the following:

Required communication	Audit Strategy Memorandum	Audit Completion Report
Our responsibilities in relation to the audit of the financial statements and our wider responsibilities	✓	
Planned scope and timing of the audit	✓	
Significant audit risks and areas of management judgement	✓	
Our commitment to independence	✓	✓
Responsibilities for preventing and detecting errors	✓	
Materiality and misstatements	✓	✓
Fees for audit and other services	✓	
Significant deficiencies in internal control		✓
Significant findings from the audit		✓
Significant matters discussed with management		✓
Our conclusions on the significant audit risks and areas of management judgement		✓
Summary of misstatements		✓
Management representation letter		✓
Our proposed draft audit report		✓

APPENDIX B – FORTHCOMING ACCOUNTING AND OTHER ISSUES

Financial reporting changes relevant to 2019/20

There are no significant changes in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting for the 2019/20 financial year.

Financial reporting changes in future years

Accounting standard	Year of application	Commentary
IFRS 16 – Leases	2020/21	<p>The CIPFA/LASAAC Code Board has determined that the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting will adopt the principles of IFRS 16 Leases, for the first time from 2020/21.</p> <p>IFRS 16 will replace the existing leasing standard, IAS 17, and will introduce significant changes to the way bodies account for leases, which will have substantial implications for the majority of public sector bodies.</p> <p>The most significant changes will be in respect of lessee accounting (i.e. where a body leases property or equipment from another entity). The existing distinction between operating and finance leases will be removed and instead, the new standard will require a right of use asset and an associated lease liability to be recognised on the lessee's Balance Sheet.</p> <p>In order to meet the requirements of IFRS 16, all local authorities will need to undertake a significant project that is likely to be time-consuming and potentially complex. There will also be consequential impacts upon capital financing arrangements at many authorities which will need to be identified and addressed at an early stage of the project.</p>

Forthcoming audit changes

Matter	Year of application	Commentary
Value for Money Conclusion	2020/21	<p>The National Audit Office (NAO) has published the final draft of the new Code of Audit Practice 2020, confirming more robust narrative reporting requirement.</p> <p>The new Code comes into effect from April 2020 and will apply to the Council's 2020/21 financial year.</p> <p>There a number of changes to the Code, the most noticeable being the introduction of external audit commentary on a local authority's overall arrangements in securing value for money, with a focus on financial sustainability, governance, and improving value for money.</p>



Audit Strategy Memorandum

Derbyshire Pension Fund

Year ending 31 March 2020





CONTENTS

1. Engagement and responsibilities summary
2. Your audit engagement team
3. Audit scope, approach and timeline
4. Significant risks and key judgement areas
5. Fees for audit and other services
6. Our commitment to independence
7. Materiality and misstatements

Appendix A - Key communication points

Appendix B - Forthcoming accounting and other issues

Appendix C - Mazars' client service commitment

This document is to be regarded as confidential to the Derbyshire Pension Fund. It has been prepared for the sole use of the Audit Committee as the appropriate sub-committee charged with governance. No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents. Our written consent must first be obtained before this document, or any part of it, is disclosed to a third party.

Members of Derbyshire County Council's Audit Committee
Derbyshire County Council
County Hall
Matlock
DE4 3AG

24 March 2020

Dear Sirs / Madams

Audit Strategy Memorandum – Year ending 31 March 2019

We are pleased to present our Audit Strategy Memorandum for the Derbyshire Pension Fund (the Fund) for the year ending 31 March 2020

The purpose of this document is to summarise our audit approach, highlight significant audit risks and areas of key judgements and provide you with the details of our audit team. As it is a fundamental requirement that an auditor is, and is seen to be, independent of its clients, Section 6 of this document also summarises our considerations and conclusions on our independence as auditors.

We consider two-way communication with you to be key to a successful audit and important in:

- reaching a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and the responsibilities of each of us;
- sharing information to assist each of us to fulfil our respective responsibilities;
- providing you with constructive observations arising from the audit process; and
- ensuring that we, as external auditors, gain an understanding of your attitude and views in respect of the internal and external operational, financial, compliance and other risks facing the Fund which may affect the audit, including the likelihood of those risks materialising and how they are monitored and managed.

This document, which has been prepared following our initial planning discussions with management, is the basis for discussion of our audit approach, and any questions or input you may have on our approach or role as auditor.

This document also contains specific appendices that outline our key communications with you during the course of the audit, and forthcoming accounting issues and other issues that may be of interest.

Client service is extremely important to us and we strive to continuously provide technical excellence with the highest level of service quality, together with continuous improvement to exceed your expectations so, if you have any concerns or comments about this document or audit approach, please contact me on 07813 752 053.

Yours faithfully



Cameron Waddell
Mazars LLP

1. ENGAGEMENT AND RESPONSIBILITIES SUMMARY

Overview of engagement

We are appointed to perform the external audit of the Derbyshire Pension Fund (the Fund) for the year to 31 March 2019. The scope of our engagement is set out in the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies, issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) available from the PSAA website: <https://www.psa.co.uk/managing-audit-quality/statement-of-responsibilities-of-auditors-and-audited-bodies/>

Our responsibilities

Our responsibilities are principally derived from the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the 2014 Act) and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO), as outlined below:

Audit opinion

We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements.

Our audit is planned and performed so to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material error and give a true and fair view of the financial performance and position of the Fund for the year.

Consistency report

We are required to form and express an opinion on the consistency of the financial statements within the Fund's annual report and the Fund's financial statements included in the Statement of Accounts of Derbyshire County Council.

Electors' rights

The 2014 Act requires us to give an elector, or any representative of the elector, the opportunity to question us about the accounting records of Derbyshire County Council and consider any objection made to the accounts. This would include an objection made to the accounts of the Fund included in the administering authority's financial statements. We also have a broad range of reporting responsibilities and powers that are unique to the audit of local authorities in the United Kingdom.

Our audit does not relieve management or those charged with governance, of their responsibilities. The responsibility for safeguarding assets and for the prevention and detection of fraud, error and non-compliance with law or regulations rests with both those charged with governance and management. In accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), we plan and perform our audit so as to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. However our audit should not be relied upon to identify all such misstatements.

As part of our audit procedures in relation to fraud we are required to enquire of those charged with governance as to their knowledge of instances of fraud, the risk of fraud and their views on management controls that mitigate the fraud risks.

The Fund is required to prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis by the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting. As auditors, we are required to consider the appropriateness of the use of the going concern assumption in the preparation of the financial statements and the adequacy of disclosures made.

For the purpose of our audit, we have identified the Audit Committee as those charged with governance.

2. YOUR AUDIT ENGAGEMENT TEAM



- **Cameron Waddell, Partner and Engagement Lead**
- cameron.waddell@mazars.co.uk
- 07813 752 053



- **John Pressley, Engagement Manager**
- john.pressley@mazars.co.uk
- 07909 980 880



- **Amber Davidson, In-charge**
- amber.davidson@mazars.co.uk
- 0115 964 4744

3. AUDIT SCOPE, APPROACH AND TIMELINE

Audit scope

Our audit approach is designed to provide an audit that complies with all professional requirements.

Our audit of the financial statements will be conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), relevant ethical and professional standards, our own audit approach and in accordance with the terms of our engagement. Our work is focused on those aspects of your business which we consider to have a higher risk of material misstatement, such as those affected by management judgement and estimation, application of new accounting standards, changes of accounting policy, changes to operations or areas which have been found to contain material errors in the past.


Audit approach

Our audit approach is a risk-based approach primarily driven by the risks we consider to result in a higher risk of material misstatement of the financial statements. Once we have completed our risk assessment, we develop our audit strategy and design audit procedures in response to this assessment.

If we conclude that appropriately-designed controls are in place then we may plan to test and rely upon these controls. If we decide controls are not appropriately designed, or we decide it would be more efficient to do so, we may take a wholly substantive approach to our audit testing. Substantive procedures are audit procedures designed to detect material misstatements at the assertion level and comprise tests of details (of classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures) and substantive analytical procedures. Irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, which take into account our evaluation of the operating effectiveness of controls, we are required to design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure.

Our audit will be planned and performed so as to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement and give a true and fair view. The concept of materiality and how we define a misstatement is explained in more detail in section 7.

The diagram below outlines the procedures we perform at the different stages of the audit.



3. AUDIT SCOPE, APPROACH AND TIMELINE (CONTINUED)

Reliance on internal audit

Where possible we will seek to utilise the work performed by internal audit to modify the nature, extent and timing of our audit procedures. We will liaise with internal audit regarding the progress and findings of their work prior to the commencement of our controls evaluation procedures.

Management and our experts

Management makes use of experts in specific areas when preparing the Fund's financial statements. We also use experts to assist us to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on specific items of account.

Items of account	Management's expert	Our expert
Disclosure notes on funding arrangements and actuarial present value of promised retirement benefits	Hymans Robertson LLP	NAO Consulting actuary PwC

Service organisations

International Auditing Standards (UK) define service organisations as third party organisations that provide services to the Fund that are part of its information systems relevant to financial reporting. We are required to obtain an understanding of the services provided by service organisations as well as evaluating the design and implementation of controls over those services. The table below summarises the service organisations used by the Fund and our planned audit approach.

Items of account	Service organisation	Audit approach
Unquoted investment valuations and related disclosures	Investment managers that provide valuations of unquoted investments	Substantive testing of transactions occurring in the year and the valuations applied to investments at the year end.
Investment valuations and income and all related disclosures.	Fund Managers	Substantive testing of transactions occurring in the year and the valuations applied to investments at the year end.
Investment valuations and income and all related disclosures.	Custodian	Substantive testing of transactions occurring in the year and the valuations applied to investments at the year end.



4. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND KEY JUDGEMENT AREAS

Following the risk assessment approach discussed in section 3 of this document, we have identified relevant risks to the audit of financial statements. The risks that we identify are categorised as significant, enhanced or standard, as defined below:


Significant risk A significant risk is an identified and assessed risk of material misstatement that, in the auditor’s judgment, requires special audit consideration. For any significant risk, the auditor shall obtain an understanding of the entity’s controls, including control activities relevant to that risk.

Enhanced risk An enhanced risk is an area of higher assessed risk of material misstatement at the audit assertion level other than a significant risk. Enhanced risks incorporate but may not be limited to:

- key areas of management judgement, including accounting estimates which are material but are not considered to give rise to a significant risk of material misstatement; and
- other audit assertion risks arising from significant events or transactions that occurred during the period.

Standard risk This is related to relatively routine, non-complex transactions that tend to be subject to systematic processing and require little management judgement. Although it is considered that there is a risk of material misstatement, there are no elevated or special factors related to the nature, the likely magnitude of the potential misstatements or the likelihood of the risk occurring.

The summary risk assessment, illustrated in the table below, highlights those risks which we deem to be significant. We have summarised our audit response to these risks on the next page.



4. SIGNIFICANT RISKS AND KEY JUDGEMENT AREAS (CONTINUED)

We provide more detail on the identified risks and our testing approach with respect to significant risks in the table below. An audit is a dynamic process, should we change our view of risk or approach to address the identified risks during the course of our audit, we will report this to the Audit Committee.

Significant risks

	Description of risk	Planned response
1	<p>Management override of controls</p> <p>Management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud on all audits.</p>	<p>We will address the risk through performing audit procedures, covering a range of areas including (but not limited to):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • accounting estimates included in the financial statements for evidence of management bias; • any significant transactions outside the normal course of business; and • journals and other adjustments recorded in the general ledger in preparing the financial statements.
2	<p>Valuation of unquoted investments for which a market price is not readily available</p> <p>As at 31 March 2019 the fair value of investments which were not quoted on an active market was £912m, which accounted for 18 percent of net investment assets. Inherently these assets are harder to value, as they do not have publicly available quoted prices from a traded market, and as such they require professional judgement or assumptions to be made when valuing them at year end.</p> <p>As the pricing of these investment assets is subject to judgements, they may be susceptible to pricing variances due to the assumptions underlying the valuation. We therefore consider that there is an increased risk of material misstatement.</p>	<p>We plan to address this risk by completing the following additional procedures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • agree holdings from fund manager reports to the global custodian's report; • agree the valuation to supporting documentation including investment manager valuation statements and cashflows for any adjustments made to the investment manager valuation; • agree the investment manager valuation to audited accounts or other independent supporting documentation, where available; and • where audited accounts are available, check that they are supported by a clear opinion.

Revenue recognition

We have considered the presumed risk in relation to revenue recognition, and have assessed that due to the low inherent risk associated with revenue in the pension fund, we can rebut the presumed risk.



5. FEES FOR AUDIT AND OTHER SERVICES

Fees for work as the Fund's appointed auditor

We communicated the scale fees set by PSAA in our fee letter of 29 April 2019. However, you will be aware that we will need to undertake additional work this year in relation to the triennial valuation as at 31 March 2019 as part of our audit of the 2019/20 financial statements. The two main elements of the testing involved are:

- testing the membership data held in the pension administration system as at 31 March 2019; and
- undertaking procedures to provide assurance that the membership data submitted to the actuary as at 31 March 2019 agrees to the data held in the pension administration system.

This work is in addition to the annual audit procedures that we undertake and will therefore be subject to a separate fee of £3,000 (plus VAT).

Service	2018/19 fee***	2019/20 fee
Code audit work	£22,672 plus VAT	£25,077 plus VAT

*** Please note the final fee does not include the £16,800 detailed below which is the total chargeable by the Pension Fund to fourteen participating employers for assurances given to their auditors.

Fees for non-PSAA work

In 2018/19 in response to requests received we reported the results of the performance of our work programme at Derbyshire Pension Fund to the auditors of fourteen participating employers. The fee for this IAS 19 assurance work was £1,200 per employer. We envisage similar work being undertaken for 2019/20 and we are satisfied this IAS 19 assurance work does not impact our independence or objectivity in relation to the audit of the Pension Fund.

In previous years we did not charge employers or their auditors for this work where the employer was within the PSAA regime. As the number of these requests is increasing year on year, from 2018/19 Mazars is charging all employers for IAS19 assurance work. The PSAA has clarified that fees for all such work, regardless of whether the employer is within the PSAA regime, will be an audit fee variation, which means that the fees for the IAS19 assurance work will be billed to the Pension Fund; the expectation is that the Fund will seek to recover the costs of this work from relevant employers.

At the present time we have not been separately engaged by the Pension Fund to carry out any other additional work outside of the fees in relation to our appointment by PSAA. Before agreeing to any other non-PSAA work we will confirm there were no actual, potential or perceived threats to our independence.



6. OUR COMMITMENT TO INDEPENDENCE

We are committed to independence and are required by the Financial Reporting Council to confirm to you at least annually, in writing, that we comply with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard. In addition, we communicate any matters or relationship which we believe may have a bearing on our independence or the objectivity of the audit team.

Based on the information provided by you and our own internal procedures to safeguard our independence as auditors, we confirm that in our professional judgement there are no relationships between us and any of our related or subsidiary entities, and you and your related entities creating any unacceptable threats to our independence within the regulatory or professional requirements governing us as your auditors.

We have policies and procedures in place which are designed to ensure that we carry out our work with integrity, objectivity and independence. These policies include:

- all partners and staff are required to complete an annual independence declaration;
- all new partners and staff are required to complete an independence confirmation and also complete computer-based ethics training;
- rotation policies covering audit engagement partners and other key members of the audit team; and
- use by managers and partners of our client and engagement acceptance system which requires all non-audit services to be approved in advance by the audit engagement partner.

We confirm, as at the date of this document, that the engagement team and others in the firm as appropriate, and Mazars LLP are independent and comply with relevant ethical requirements. However, if at any time you have concerns or questions about our integrity, objectivity or independence please discuss these with Cameron Waddell in the first instance.

Prior to the provision of any non-audit services Cameron Waddell will undertake appropriate procedures to consider and fully assess the impact that providing the service may have on our auditor independence. Included in this assessment is consideration of Auditor Guidance Note 01 as issued by the NAO, and the PSAA Terms of Appointment.

Any emerging independence threats and associated identified safeguards will be communicated in our Audit Completion Report.



7. MATERIALITY AND MISSTATEMENTS

Summary of initial materiality thresholds

Threshold	Initial threshold (£m)
Overall materiality	£49.3
Performance materiality	£37.0
Specific materiality:	
Fund account overall materiality	£16.4
Fund account performance materiality	£10.7
Trivial threshold for errors to be reported to the Audit Committee	£1.5

Materiality

Materiality is an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of financial statements as a whole. Misstatements in financial statements are considered to be material if they, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Judgements on materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by the size and nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. Judgements about materiality are based on consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group and not on specific individual users.

The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our perception of the financial information needs of the users of the financial statements. In making our assessment we assume that users:

- have a reasonable knowledge of business, economic activities and accounts;
- have a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable diligence;
- understand that financial statements are prepared, presented and audited to levels of materiality;
- recognise the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgement and the consideration of future events; and
- will make reasonable economic decisions on the basis of the information in the financial statements.

We consider materiality whilst planning and performing our audit based on quantitative and qualitative factors.

Whilst planning, we make judgements about the size of misstatements which we consider to be material and which provides a basis for determining the nature, timing and extent of risk assessment procedures, identifying and assessing the risk of material misstatement and determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures.

The materiality determined at the planning stage does not necessarily establish an amount below which uncorrected misstatements, either individually or in aggregate, will be considered as immaterial.

We revise materiality for the financial statements as our audit progresses should we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we been aware of that information at the planning stage.

We consider materiality whilst planning and performing our audit based on quantitative and qualitative factors.



7. MATERIALITY AND MISSTATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

We will identify a figure for overall materiality but identify separate levels for procedures designed to detect individual errors, and also a level above which all identified errors will be reported to the Audit Committee. Our provisional overall materiality is set based on a benchmark of net assets.

We consider that net assets remains the key focus of users of the financial statements and, as such, we base our materiality levels around this benchmark. We expect to set a materiality threshold at 1% of net assets.

Materiality is based on net assets reported within the prior year 2018/19 financial statements.

After setting initial materiality, we continue to monitor materiality throughout the audit to ensure that it is set at an appropriate level.

Performance Materiality

Performance materiality is the amount or amounts set by the auditor at less than materiality for the financial statements as a whole to reduce, to an appropriately low level, the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Our initial assessment of performance materiality is based on low inherent risk, meaning that we have applied 75% of overall materiality as performance materiality.

Misstatements

We aggregate misstatements identified during the audit that are other than clearly trivial. We set a level of triviality for individual errors identified (a reporting threshold) for reporting to the Audit Committee that is consistent with the level of triviality that we consider would not need to be accumulated because we expect that the accumulation of such amounts would not have a material effect on the financial statements. Based on our preliminary assessment of overall materiality, our proposed triviality threshold is £1m based on circa 3% of overall materiality. If you have any queries about this please do not hesitate to raise these with Cameron Waddell.

Reporting to the Audit Committee

To comply with International Standards on Auditing (UK), the following three types of audit differences will be presented to the Audit Committee:

- summary of adjusted audit differences;
- summary of unadjusted audit differences; and
- summary of disclosure differences (adjusted and unadjusted).



APPENDIX A – KEY COMMUNICATION POINTS

ISA (UK) 260 'Communication with Those Charged with Governance', ISA (UK) 265 'Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management' and other ISAs (UK) specifically require us to communicate the following:

Required communication	Audit Strategy Memorandum	Audit Completion Report
Our responsibilities in relation to the audit of the financial statements and our wider responsibilities	✓	
Planned scope and timing of the audit	✓	
Significant audit risks and areas of management judgement	✓	
Our commitment to independence	✓	✓
Responsibilities for preventing and detecting errors	✓	
Materiality and misstatements	✓	✓
Fees for audit and other services	✓	
Significant deficiencies in internal control		✓
Significant findings from the audit		✓
Significant matters discussed with management		✓
Our conclusions on the significant audit risks and areas of management judgement		✓
Summary of misstatements		✓
Management representation letter		✓
Our proposed draft audit report		✓

APPENDIX B – FORTHCOMING ACCOUNTING AND OTHER ISSUES

Financial reporting changes relevant to 2019/20

There are no significant changes in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting for the 2019/20 financial year.

Financial reporting changes in future years

Accounting standard	Year of application	Commentary
IFRS 16 – Leases	2020/21	<p>The CIPFA/LASAAC Code Board has determined that the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting will adopt the principles of IFRS 16 Leases, for the first time from 2020/21.</p> <p>IFRS 16 will replace the existing leasing standard, IAS 17, and will introduce significant changes to the way bodies account for leases, which will have substantial implications for the majority of public sector bodies.</p> <p>The most significant changes will be in respect of lessee accounting (i.e. where a body leases property or equipment from another entity). The existing distinction between operating and finance leases will be removed and instead, the new standard will require a right of use asset and an associated lease liability to be recognised on the lessee's Balance Sheet.</p> <p>Although the number of leases the Fund is party to is expected to be low, it is important that work is undertaken to identify and assess all leases, particularly any implicit within a service contract.</p>

APPENDIX C – MAZARS' CLIENT SERVICE COMMITMENT

We are here because of our clients; serving them in the best way we can is part of our DNA. We operate a Code of Conduct which drives our client service commitment in all areas, as set out below.

